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# Background

Identity and Access Management (IAM) is the discipline or practice that enables organizations to manage access to systems and resources. IAM does this by collecting information, issuing credentials, or making access decisions for any entity expected to access the organization’s resources, either directly or via various federated models. These IAM activities include (but are not limited to) logging into the company network to check email, registering with an online service to shop, or sharing a digital instantiation of a driver’s license, vaccination record, or library card.

The nature of IAM requires collecting data about individuals. Issuers, Verifiers, or Holders perform various actions based on that data. When one also considers the amount of data that can be collected about an individual via their mobile device, the possibilities to both enable convenient access and unethical data collection and use are extensive and concerning. IAM systems and practitioners must pay close attention to privacy and security concerns such as maintaining the confidentially of user data and responsibly handling the collection, use, disclosure, retention, and disposal of identity-related information.

The Privacy Enhancing Mobile Credentials Working Group (PEMC WG) focuses on the issues in IAM around individual privacy in mobile devices. When it comes to mobile devices, mobile credentials may be enduring — a driving license or a student card at a university — or ephemeral such as a ticket to an event. All credentials will contain some identifying attributes related to the person to whom the credential was issued, as well as attributes specific to the purpose of the credentials. The use of credentials is integral to day-to-day life, and the use of digital credentials can provide “digital data trails” regarding individual activity. The uses and abuses of these data can lead to privacy harms to individuals. The PEMC WG, therefore, seeks to reduce the likelihood of privacy harms by providing guidance and requirements to the parties involved in mobile credential systems, including Issuers, Holders, and Verifiers (see the diagram below).

# Audience:

The intended audience for the conformance specifications produced by this working group is expected to include Issuers, including Driving Licenses authorities and other entities that wish to issue ISO/IEC 18013-5, ISO/IEC 18013-7, or similar standards. We also expect Verifiers that are producing hardware and/or software to verify an issued identity credential and the entities that use verifying hardware and software, and Providers that produce devices and/or software to hold and enable the presentation of issued credentials to be engaged. Additionally, while not the target audience, credential holders, public advocacy groups, and those developing compliance testing and platforms will find these conformance specifications informative.

# Purpose

The PEMC WG will create a set of requirements and conformance criteria to respect the privacy of individuals holding or using mobile credentials. We aim to address privacy issues that are out of scope or not addressed in existing mobile credential standards. Existing standards provide some technical and transactional assurances of user choice and data minimization at the point of presentation of the credential. These standards do not establish the criteria for assuring the individual that their information will not be used for purposes (e.g., targeted advertising) they did not consent to. Failing to respect the interests of the mobile credential holder or meet the requirements to establish the legal authority of the verifier to collect the identity attributes could violate the privacy of the mobile credential holder.

Every stakeholder in a mobile credential ecosystem can play a role and provide assurances to respect individual privacy. The stakeholders and their relationships in this extended version of a mobile credential system are captured below.



Figure 1 PEMC extended trust triangle

# Scope

The scope of the planned work is to produce a series of requirements plus informative guidance for entities that produce or consume mobile credentials in any of the data flows identified in the Kantara Report: [Privacy and Identity Protection in mobile Driving License Ecosystems](https://docs.kantarainitiative.org/PImDL-V1-Final.html). Fulfilling requirements will enable entities to provide assurances to stakeholders with respect to their capabilities to protect privacy and identity attributes beyond the specific technical and transactional assurances provided by standards such as ISO/IEC 18013-5. The requirements will be categorized based on Privacy Principles as included in the "[ISO/IEC FDIS 29100 - Information technology — Security techniques — Privacy framework](https://standards.iso.org/ittf/PubliclyAvailableStandards/c045123_ISO_IEC_29100_2011.zip)" series of standards. Specific service assessment criteria, control objectives, and controls are out of scope of this effort.

* **In Scope:** Credentials issued by both public sector and private sector issuers are in scope of this document.
* **Out of Scope:** Collection, use, and maintenance of identifying attributes by the Issuer are out of scope of this document. Decisions made by an Issuer could be set by policy or regulation regarding what information they collect for the credential itself.

We anticipate that the articulation of requirements will enable industry or use-case-specific profiles composed of selected requirements for conformance testing purposes.

# Timeline and Approach

The PEMC WG is taking a phased approach to writing requirements and profiles for mobile credential ecosystems that include data flow endpoints as well as the individuals and organizations behind those endpoints. The table below presents these phases – updated from the 2021 charter —with estimates of their durations. Note that we expect that some of the work in the phases will overlap to ensure that expectations are aligned across the ecosystem.

| Phase | Name | Description | Duration (est.) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0 | Initiation | The initial phase is to form the workgroup, engage stakeholders, and identify the common elements used by actors in the ecosystem, including definitions, terms, and common expectations. This articulates high-level guidance for Implementors in advance of specific requirements and profiles.**Milestone**: PEMC Draft Implementor’s Report | 18 months |
| 1 | Requirements for Verifiers | Conformance criteria for entities that build or use software/hardware that consumes credentials such as a mobile driving license or a verifiable credential. Meeting these expectations will reassure individuals that their privacy will be protected by the entities that read their credentials.**Milestone**: [Privacy Conformance Specification mobile credentials, part a: Verifiers](#VerifiersPartA) | 12 - 18 months |
| 2 | Requirements for Issuers | Conformance criteria for entities that issue mobile credentials, such as a mobile driving license. Meeting these expectations will reassure individuals that the information in their mobile credentials will be protected and can be released only by their choice and with their consent.**Milestone**: [Privacy Conformance Specification mobile credentials, part b: Issuers](#IssuersPartB) | 12 months |
| 3 | Requirements for Providers | Conformance expectations for entities that build software/hardware that hold credentials for presentation, such as a mobile driving license or a digital wallet. These requirements also include provider platforms used to create wallets and similar software. Meeting these expectations reassures the holder of the mobile credential that their privacy will be protected on provider systems.Milestone: [Privacy Conformance Specification mobile credentials, part c: Providers](#ProvidersPartC) | 12 months |
| 4 | Errata and Reconciliation | Final edits and quality checks to ensure alignment in conforming entities’ systems as described in phases 0 through 3.**Milestone**: [Final Conformance Specification](#FinalSpec) | 6 Months |
| 5 | Review and Update | Ongoing activities to update and maintain conformance expectations. For example, transfer accountability to a Conformity Assessment Body as a new Scheme**Milestone**: N/A | Ongoing |

Table 1 Work Group Effort by Phase

# Draft Technical Specifications:

The plan is to produce the following:

| Specification | Date | Notes |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Early Implementors Draft Report | End of Initiation Q2 2023 | Framework report including definitions and high-level structure of conformance specification |
| Privacy Conformance Specification mobile credentials, part a: Verifiers | Phase 1Q4 2023 | Applies to entities or individuals that consume mobile credentials and to the manufacturers of the software and/or hardware used by the verifying entity.All member ballots to publish as the PEMC Verification Specification V1 |
| Privacy Conformance Specification mobile credentials, part b: Issuers | Phase 2Q1 2024 | Applies to issuing authorities and the entities or system components that they use for provisioning mobile credentials.All member ballots to publish as the PEMC Issuer Specification V1 |
| Privacy Conformance Specification mobile credentials, part c: Providers | Phase 3Q2 2024 | Applies to the manufacturers and/or system integrators that produce software/hardware for holding, managing, and presenting mobile credentialsAll member ballots to publish as the PEMC Provider Specification V1 |
| Final Conformance Specification | Phase 4Q2 2024 | Update to ensure alignment among published specifications.Optional: All member ballots to publish as v1.1 Specifications |

Table 2 Working Titles of specifications

# Other Draft Recommendations:

None

# Leadership

* WG Chair: John Wunderlich
* WG Co-Chair: Christopher Williams
* WG Secretary:
* WG Technical Editor: Heather Flanagan

# Duration

See [Draft Technical Specifications:](#_Draft_Technical_Specifications:)

# IPR Policy

Kantara Initiative IPR Policy, Non-Assertion Covenant

# Related Work and Liaisons

Related work being done in other WGs or other organizations and any proposed liaison with those other WGs or organizations.

IEEE P7002-2022 - IEE[E Standard for Data Privacy Process](https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9760247)

ISO/IEC 20889:2018 [Privacy enhancing data de-identification terminology and classification of techniques](https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso-iec:20889:ed-1:v1:en)

ISO/IEC 24760-1:2019 [IT Security and Privacy — A framework for identity management — Part 1: Terminology and concepts](https://standards.iso.org/ittf/PubliclyAvailableStandards/c077582_ISO_IEC_24760-1_2019%28E%29.zip)

ISO/IEC 27018:2019 [Information technology — Security techniques — Code of practice for protection of personally identifiable information (PII) in public clouds acting as PII processors](https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso-iec:27018:ed-2:v1:en)

ISO/IEC 27701:2019 [Security techniques — Extension to ISO/IEC 27001 and ISO/IEC 27002 for privacy information management — Requirements and guidelines](https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso-iec:27701:ed-1:v1:en)

ISO/IEC TR 27550:2019 [Information technology — Security techniques — Privacy engineering for system life cycle processes](https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso-iec:tr:27550:ed-1:v1:en)

ISO/IEC 29100:2011 [Information technology — Security techniques — Privacy framework](https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso-iec:29100:ed-1:v1:en)

ISO/IEC 29101:2018 [Information technology — Security techniques — Privacy architecture framework](https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso-iec:29101:ed-2:v1:en)

ISO/IEC 29134:2017 [Information technology — Security techniques — Guidelines for privacy impact assessment](https://www.iso.org/obp/ui/#iso:std:iso-iec:29134:ed-1:v1:en)

Kantara Initiative [PImDL Report](https://kantarainitiative.org/download/pimdl-v1-final-html/)

NIST Privacy Framework: <https://www.nist.gov/privacy-framework>

NIST SP 800-37 Rev 2.: A System Life Cycle Approach for Security and Privacy. <https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-37r2>

NIST SP 800-47 Rev 1.: Managing the Security of Information Exchanges. <https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-47/rev-1/final>

NIST SP 800-53 Revision 5: Security and Privacy Controls for Information Systems and Organizations. <https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-53r5>

# Proposers

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Proposer | Email | Affiliation | Kantara Membership |
| John Wunderlich | john@wunderlich.ca | Independent | Individual |
| Christopher Williams | Williams.2560@gmail.com | Independent | Individual |
| Tom Jones | thomasclinganjones@gmail.com  | Independent | Individual |