**Date: 6-7-2012**

**PAC Drafting Conference Call**

**Attendees:**

Ann Geyer
Collin Soutar
Nathan Faut
Jeff Stollman
Mark Lizar
Myisha Frazier-Mc-Elveen
Joni Brennan

1. **Drafting Discussion --General**
	* Clarified that we will be working from the Additional Requirements for Credential Service Providers: US Federal Privacy Criteria. This document and the associated FICAM Privacy Guidance for Trust Framework Assessors and Auditors can now be found at the top of the P3WG Privacy Assessment Criteria page <http://kantarainitiative.org/confluence/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=49775195>
	* Clarified that our working objective is to supply useful guidance, interpretations, examples for how Kantara approved assessors should audit privacy requirements for CSP activities.
	* Clarified that guidance given should point to the privacy principle in play.
	* Identified the need for a document map to show the relationship of the PAC to other Kantara and FICAM documents.
2. **Drafting Discussion – Optional Participation #2.2**
	* Discussed what decision rights this paragraph gives to what parties.
	* Point A -- Clarified that the intent of this paragraph is to allow individuals, who have multiple membership associations and who have credentials issued by the CSP of those organizations, to determine which is the most appropriate credential to use when conducting business with the US federal government.
	* Point B --The CSP issuing organization may permit, but not require, the individual to use the CSP’s credential for business outside the scope of the individual’s relationship with the organization.
	* Point B – alternative wording—No CPS has the right to determine it is the primary credential source for an individual who has multiple membership or employment associations. For example, an employer CPS may not require an employee to use it’s the employer issued credential exclusively.
	* Point C -- The last part of paragraph 2.2 “ *…or there is an alternate means of access to the government application”* is not needed and creates confusion about Points A & B referenced immediately above.
	* The reference to the “subscriber … should be allowed to opt-out” is interpreted to be satisfied by a CSP policy that adopts Points A & B above.

1. **Parking Lot Items**
	* Discussants felt that guidance on how the private information collected and maintained by a CSP is protected should be part of this document

1. **Tasks Assigned**
* Collect the relevant working and reference documents and post them to the P3WG site for easy reference. Ann Geyer
* When feasible, extract from passages for discussion and post then in the meeting reminder email for easy reference. Ann Geyer
1. **Items Referred to Full P3WG:**  none
2. **Attachments:** none