

1 **Kantara Initiative Identity Assurance Framework --**
2 **Validating Trustworthy Identity Ecosystem Components**

3
4 **Version dated: 25 July 2012**
5 **Target document: IAWG Report**
6

Identity ecosystems around the world continue to crystalize, and, as they do so, the number of applications that rely on their effectiveness and validity is increasing dramatically. Functions such as identity verification and credential authentication, which were traditionally fulfilled within a closed-enterprise identity lifecycle, are now being provided in modular service components that can support a wide range of applications, i.e. e-Government, health care, and financial. The Kantara Identity Assurance Framework (IAF) states the generic Identity Assurance and Privacy Safeguards requirements for Identity Ecosystem components. The Kantara Assessment program uses the IAF to accredit Assessors and to validate Service Providers. Thus, the Kantara Identity Assurance Framework (IAF) and associated programs provide a mechanism for the independent validation of the trustworthiness of identity system components, as recognized by such parties as the US CIO FICAM sub-committee. This is a crucial step in ensuring that the security and privacy considerations for a wide array of business objectives are met, in an online environment.

7 **Background**

8 As identity ecosystems evolve to support a broad number of applications, the historically sequential chain of
9 functions, such as: user provisioning; identity verification; credential authentication; and entitlement
10 authorization; are being implemented as modular services. The *modularization* of these functions into service
11 components enables their sharing across the multiple relying parties. In addition to the economic benefit of
12 sharing such services, the other two reasons for modularization are: to avoid unnecessary proliferation of personal
13 data (by limiting the number of points at which a user provides personal data); and to support requirements for
14 segregation of functions such as identity verification and entitlement authorization. This permits more program
15 flexibility and a migration from traditional built-in identity proofing to a system based on services provided by a
16 number of trusted suppliers.

17 As this shift of the underlying architecture for identity systems moves from the sequential enterprise framework,
18 where trust was delegated down through each function, to a federated identity system of interconnected
19 components, the *trustworthiness* of each constituent component becomes paramount. This requirement for
20 trustworthy components places a higher degree of scrutiny and accountability (business and technology) on
21 component technologies than was previously exposed in a sequential-system flow of trust. In addition to
22 component trustworthiness, a viable identity ecosystem also requires consistency (i.e. commensurate processes
23 and policies) across all service components, for considerations such as privacy safeguards for data at rest and
24 transport protection for data in motion. The Kantara Identity Assurance Framework was developed by a broad

25 range of international identity and privacy experts and so reflects a wide set of considerations that would
26 determine such service provider consistency.

27 Trustworthiness can be demonstrated in a couple of key ways: the underlying framework for an identity ecosystem
28 can be demonstrated as trustworthy by an examination of the operating procedures and policies; and each of the
29 service components can be validated to provide a specific level of service, via a component assessment scheme.

30

31 **Kantara Initiative Identity Assurance Framework**

32 The Kantara Initiative Identity Assurance Framework (IAF) was developed to satisfy both of these key elements of
33 identity system trustworthiness. The IAF traces back to e-authentication initiatives described by OMB-04-04¹ and
34 its supporting NIST Special Publication 800-63². These documents define the requirements for identity assurance
35 at specified degrees of risk, and provide the basis for the operating conditions for service components in the
36 current version of the IAF³. As such, the IAF supports the four levels of assurance that are generally recognized
37 (albeit with different terminology) by the governments of the U.S.⁴, Canada⁵, UK, New Zealand and others regions,
38 such as the EU⁶.

39 The current version of the Identity Assurance Framework supports a modular approach down to the level of
40 separating out the functions of identity verification and credential authentication. This de-coupling of identity
41 from credential authentication allows a wide range of identity ecosystem implementations to be accommodated.
42 As an example, in some jurisdictions, privacy legislation requires that identity verification to support a claim of
43 entitlement is only executed at the point of service delivery, and not be implied in a transported credential.

44 In terms of service components, trustworthiness typically comprises demonstration of two significant factors: that
45 the operational processes and procedures of the component are sufficient to support the degree of asserted
46 identity assurance; and that the underlying security safeguards for data protection are sufficient.

47

48 **IAF Maintenance and Development**

49 The Kantara IAF states the generic requirements for such Identity Assurance and Privacy Safeguards. The Kantara
50 Assessment program provides for the Accreditation of Assessors and the validation of Service Providers. The
51 detailed Assessment Criteria for such validation of Service Providers is maintained by the Kantara Identity
52 Assurance Work Group (IAWG) and the Kantara Privacy and Public Policy Work Group (P3WG), for Identity
53 Assurance and Privacy Safeguards, respectively.

54 The Kantara IAF was designed to be as generic as possible and thereby intended to support a range of identity
55 initiatives "out of the box". Sector-specific nuances or instantiations of the Identity Assurance Framework, for
56 example, to accommodate varying government, health care, or telecommunications industry requirements are
57 documented in profiles of the IAF. These profiles are coordinated by the respective Kantara Work Group (eGov,
58 Health Care ID, Telco ID) to the IAWG and P3WG. This allows the overall Kantara IAF to support a broad range of
59 government, health care, financial, and telecommunication sector embodiments.

60 As an example of a sector-specific embodiment of the IAF, there are numerous initiatives evolving in the health
61 care sector that require strong identity management to ensure adequate trust. Some examples in the U.S. include
62 the many Health Information Exchanges (HIEs) being deployed around the country, the Drug Enforcement Agency's
63 electronic prescribing of controlled substances (EPCS) rule, ONC's Direct effort, the Nationwide Health Information
64 (NwHIN) development, Accountable Care Organization (ACO) pilots, and "meaningful use" interoperability
65 requirements. The Kantara Identity Assurance Framework and associated Assessment and Accreditation Schemes
66 provide all of the basic elements needed to support a trusted identity ecosystem that enables a single identity to
67 be broadly used in these and numerous other health care scenarios.

68

69

70

71 **Summary**

72 The Kantara Identity Assurance Framework provides an independent mechanism to establish the trustworthiness
73 of identity components to support a wide range of applications, in an effective and validated manner. This will
74 reinforce user acceptance of such applications by establishing clear definitions of identity assurance processes and
75 the steps taken to protect personal data.

76

77 **References**

¹ E-Authentication Guidance for Federal Agencies

www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/memoranda/fy04/m04-04.pdf

² Electronic Authentication Guideline Recommendations

csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-63/SP800-63V1_0_2.pdf

³ Kantara Identity Assurance Framework

<http://kantarainitiative.org/confluence/display/GI/Identity+Assurance+Framework+v2.0>

⁴ National Strategy for Trusted Identities in Cyberspace

<http://www.nist.gov/nstic/>

⁵ Cyber Authentication Renewal Initiative

<http://www.tbs-sct.gc.ca/sim-gsi/si-is/docs/ident-eng.asp>

⁶ European STORK project on a European eID Interoperability Platform

<https://www.eid-stork.eu/>