

Identity Assurance Framework: US Federal Privacy Profile

Version: 1.0

Date: 2010-06-24

Editor: David Wasley, Internet 2

Joni Brennan, Kantara Initiative

- **Contributors:**
- 13 http://kantarainitiative.org/confluence/x/7gKDAg

- 15 Status: This document is a Kantara Initiative Report, approved by the Identity
- Assurance WG (see section 3.9 and 4 of the Kantara Initiative Operating Procedures)
- **Abstract:**
- 18 Kantara Initiative Federal Privacy Profile for CSPs that desire certification under the
- 19 IAF for interoperation with US Federal Agency applications under the Open
- 20 Government program.

Filename: kantara-report-iawg-iaf-us-federal-privacy-profile-1.0

Identity Assurance Framework: US Federal Privacy Profile

25	Notice:
26	This document has been prepared by Participants of Kantara Initiative. Permission is
27	hereby granted to use the document solely for the purpose of implementing the
28	Specification. No rights are granted to prepare derivative works of this Specification.
29	Entities seeking permission to reproduce portions of this document for other uses must
30	contact Kantara Initiative to determine whether an appropriate license for such use is
31	available.
32	
33	Implementation or use of certain elements of this document may require licenses under
34	third party intellectual property rights, including without limitation, patent rights. The
35	Participants of and any other contributors to the Specification are not and shall not be held
36	responsible in any manner for identifying or failing to identify any or all such third party
37	intellectual property rights. This Specification is provided "AS IS," and no Participant in
38	the Kantara Initiative makes any warranty of any kind, expressed or implied, including any
39	implied warranties of merchantability, non-infringement of third party intellectual property
40	rights, and fitness for a particular purpose. Implementers of this Specification are advised
41	to review the Kantara Initiative's website (http://www.kantarainitiative.org) for
42	information concerning any Necessary Claims Disclosure Notices that have been received
43	by the Kantara Initiative Board of Trustees.
44	
45	The content of this document is copyright of Kantara Initiative. © 2010 Kantara Initiative.
46	

Version: 1.0

Version: 1.0

1 INTRODUCTION

Kantara Initiative Federal Privacy Profile for CSPs that desire certification under the IAF for interoperation with US Federal Agency applications under the Open Government program.

50 51 52

47

48

49

- This profile is required for use with US Federal government applications in conjunction
- 53 with Kantara Initiative certified CSPs. This supplements the Kantara IAF level of
- assurance requirements found in the SAC. No requirements found in the IAF SAC or this
- Profile apply directly to Relying Party Applications (RPs). The Kantara Initiative
- Identity Assurance Program, acting in the capacity of a Trust Framework Provider to the
- 57 US Federal Government, assumes that all US Agency RP applications will operate in
- 58 compliance to all US Federal privacy and identity management policies, laws and
- 59 regulations.

The Credential Service Provider (CSP) must assert and comply with an Identity Subject Privacy Policy that provides for at least the following:

62 63

64

65

66

67

68

a. **Informed Consent** – At the time the Identity Subject initiates registration, the CSP must provide the Subject a general description of the service and how it operates including what information, if any, may be released by default to any Relying Party and, if the Subject indicates intent to use the service to gain access to Federal government applications, must make available to the Identity Subject what additional information, if any, may be released to such applications. The Subject must indicate consent to these provisions before registration can be completed.

69 70 71

72

73

74

75

76

CSPs <u>should</u> provide a mechanism for Identity Subjects to deny release of individual attributes to Federal government applications, as specified and specifically accommodated for in the ICAM approved Authentication Scheme being utilized by the CSP. It is recognized, and the Identity Subject should be cautioned that such denial may result in a denial of service by the application unless alternate means of access are provided to the Identity Subject by the application itself.

77 78 79

80

81

82

83

Note: CSPs are not expected to provide such a mechanism for attribute-level opt- out for Identity Subjects when the Identity Subject is engaging with a government application on behalf of their employer or university and such attributes are required by the RP application to complete the transaction, pre-arranged by policy agreed to between the CSP and the RP well in advance of the transaction.

848586

87

88

b. **Optional Participation** – Identity Subjects that are members, for example employees, faculty, or students, of an organization that provides identity services as part of its business processes should be allowed to opt-out of using that

- Version: 1.0
- 89 organization's identity services to gain access to government applications if such 90 access is not required by their organizational responsibilities or there is an alternate 91 means of access to the government application.

92 93

94

c. **Minimalism** – Identity Provider must transmit only those attributes that are explicitly requested by the Federal RP application or required by the Federal identity assertion profile.

95 96 97

98

99

100

d. Unique Identity -- Federal applications that do not require personally identifiable identity information (PII) must be given a persistent abstract identifier unique to the individual Identity Subject. When allowed by the technology, the CSP must create a unique identifier for the Identity Subject that is also unique to each Federal application.

101 102 103

104

105

e. No Activity Tracking – CSPs must not disclose information regarding Identity Subject activities with any Federal application to any other party or use the information for any purpose other than problem resolution to support proper operation of the identity service, or as required by law.

106 107 108

109 110

111

- **Adequate Notice** At the time an Identity Subject initiates access to a Federal government application, that application may provide text to be displayed to the Subject before any PII is provided to the application by the CSP. That text may include
- 112
- a general description of the authentication event,
- 113 • any transaction(s) with the Federal application,
- 114 • the purpose of the transaction(s),
- 115 • and a description of any disclosure or transmission of PII that will be requested 116 by the Federal application. 117

The Subject should be allowed to cancel the access transaction at this point.

118 119

120

Termination – In the event an CSP ceases to provide this service, the Provider shall continue to protect any sensitive data including PII and destroy it as soon as its preservation is no longer required by law or regulation.

121 122 123

124

h. Changes in the Service – Should the CSP alter the terms of use of the service, prompt notice must be provided to Identity Subjects. Such notice must include a clear delineation of what has changed and the purpose of such changes.

125 126 127

128

129

130

131

i. **Dispute Resolution** – CSP's must have a dispute resolution process for addressing any dispute resulting from a complaint filed by an Identity Subject utilizing its service who notifies the CSP regarding a failure to comply with any terms in the CSP Service Definition required by the SAC, and/or any additional criteria defined in this Profile. The CSP must provide evidence to their Kantara Initiative

Identity Assurance Framework:

132

133

138

Identity Assurance Framework:
US Federal Privacy Profile
us rederal Privacy Profile
Privacy Profile

Accredited Assessor both of the existence of this process and its compliance thereto.

134	j.	Technology Requirements – CSP's must be compliant with one or more of the
135		ICAM-approved Authentication Schemes when engaged in any identity transaction
136		with government applications. (See http://www.idmanagement.gov for the
137		current list of technology protocols from which to choose.)