

1



2

3

4

5

6

**Federal Identity, Credential, and Access Management
Trust Framework Solutions**

7

8

9

Identity Scheme and Protocol Profile Adoption Process

10

11

12

Version 2.0.0
DRAFT: 11/11/13

13

14

15

16

17

Questions?

18

Contact the FICAM TFS Program Manager at TFS.EAO@gsa.gov

19

20

21

22

23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

Table of Contents

1. PURPOSE.....	4
1.1 AUDIENCE.....	4
1.2 USAGE	4
2. BACKGROUND.....	4
3. IMPLEMENTATION	5
3.1 STANDARDIZED ASSURANCE LEVEL URIS.....	5
4. SCHEME AND PROFILE ADOPTION PROCESS	6
4.1 VALUE DETERMINATION.....	6
4.2 STANDARDIZATION REVIEW	6
4.3 SCHEME AND PROFILE ADOPTION DECISION.....	7
4.4 ONGOING ACTIVITIES	7
4.5 SCHEME AND PROFILE ADOPTION PROCESS MAINTENANCE.....	7
APPENDIX A – REFERENCE DOCUMENTATION.....	8
APPENDIX B - DEFINITIONS	9
APPENDIX C - ACRONYMS.....	10

DRAFT

40 **1. PURPOSE**

41 This document is the *Identity Scheme and Protocol Profile Adoption Process* and defines the process
42 whereby the government can assess the efficacy of specific subsets of identity management standards
43 (i.e., schemes and profiles) for federal purposes so that an Agency online application or service and
44 Identity Provider application or service can implement the schemes confident that secure, reliable and
45 privacy respecting technical interoperability will be achieved at a known level of assurance comparable to
46 one of the four Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Levels of Assurance.

47 **1.1 Audience**

48 This guideline is intended for:

- 49 • **Token Managers, Identity Managers and Credential Service Providers**, who are seeking to
50 offer their services for use by the U.S. federal government.
- 51 • **Trust Framework Providers**, who are seeking to map their security and privacy guidelines to
52 U.S. federal government security and privacy requirements
- 53 • **Security and Privacy Practitioners**, who recommend, design, build or provide solutions that
54 meet U.S. federal government requirements

55 **1.2 Usage**

- 56 1. Read the *Trust Framework Solutions Overview* to understand the background, authorities
57 and components of the FICAM TFS Program
- 58 2. Read the *Identity Scheme and Protocol Profile Adoption Process* to understand how
59 protocol profiles are created, adopted and used by the government to ensure that the RP
60 application and the CSP communicate in a confident, secure, interoperable and reliable
61 manner.
- 62 3. Read the *Trust Framework Provider Adoption Process (TFPAP) for All Levels of*
63 *Assurance* to understand the role of the Trust Framework Provider
- 64 4. Read the *Authority To Offer Services (ATOS) for FICAM TFS Approved Identity Services*
65 to understand the requirements for offering services to the U.S. Federal Government
66

67 **2. BACKGROUND**

68 The FICAM Trust Framework Solutions (TFS) is the federated identity framework for the U.S. federal
69 government. It includes guidance, processes and supporting infrastructure to enable secure and
70 streamlined citizen and business facing online service delivery.

71 The *Trust Framework Solutions Overview* document provides a holistic overview of the components of
72 the TFS which consists of:

- 73 • *Trust Framework Provider Adoption Process (TFPAP) for All Levels of Assurance*
- 74 • *Authority To Offer Services (ATOS) for FICAM TFS Approved Identity Services*
- 75 • *Identity Scheme and Protocol Profile Adoption Process*
- 76 • *Relying Party Guidance for Accepting Externally Issued Credentials*
- 77 • E-Government Trust Services Certificate Authority (EGTS CA)
- 78 • E-Government Trust Services Metadata Services (EGTS Metadata Services)

79 The protocol profiles as developed via this process describe the technical standardized and interoperable
80 interface agreements that will be used to exchange identity information between disparate government
81 systems that cross organizational and policy boundaries.

82

83 **3. IMPLEMENTATION**

84 Standards development is a long, painful process and often results in a compromise everyone involved
85 can live with. In particular there is a great tension around the need to provide flexibility and extensibility,
86 security and privacy, and interoperability in the standards development process. The result often ends up
87 being a standards document that provides multiple ways of accomplishing the same thing, all of which are
88 "compliant" to the standard but often may not be interoperable.

89 For the federal government to utilize industry standards, they need to be widely deployed by multiple
90 vendors, interoperable, and meet the security and privacy policy requirements articulated by authoritative
91 federal government bodies. The adoption process defined herein, based on guidance from the OMB,
92 NIST, and review from private sector partners, provides a consistent, standard, structured means of
93 identifying, vetting, and approving identity schemes and protocol profiles (i.e., an identity scheme or
94 protocol profile meets all applicable ICAM requirements, as well as other Federal statutes, regulations,
95 and policies).

96 In addition, the structured process provides assurance to all ICAM participants that underlying identity
97 assurance technologies are appropriate, robust, reliable, secure and privacy respecting. This confidence is
98 essential to government-wide acceptance and use of ICAM.

99 **3.1 Standardized Assurance Level URIs**

100 The TFPAP, in recognizing Component Identity Services, utilizes the following terminology for token
101 and identity assurance levels, while continuing to utilize the existing LOA terminology for credential
102 assurance:

- 103 • **Token Assurance Level (TAL):** The degree of confidence that that an individual, organization or
104 device has maintained control over what has been entrusted to him or her (e.g., key, token,
105 document, identifier) and that the token has not been compromised (e.g., tampered with,
106 corrupted, modified)
- 107 • **Identity Assurance Level (IAL):** The degree of confidence that an individual, organization or
108 device is who or what it claims to be.
- 109 • **Level of Assurance (LOA):** In the context of OMB M-04-04, assurance is defined as 1) the
110 degree of confidence in the vetting process used to establish the identity of an individual to whom
111 the credential was issued, and 2) the degree of confidence that the individual who uses the
112 credential is the individual to whom the credential was issued

113 The following standardized assurance level URIs, which are conformant to the FICAM XML Namespace
114 Requirements, are provided for use by all FICAM Identity Schemes and Protocol Profiles:

115 Token Assurance Level 1-4:

- 116 • <http://idmanagement.gov/ns/assurance/tal/1>
- 117 • <http://idmanagement.gov/ns/assurance/tal/2>
- 118 • <http://idmanagement.gov/ns/assurance/tal/3>
- 119 • <http://idmanagement.gov/ns/assurance/tal/4>

120 Identity Assurance Level 1-4:

- 121 • <http://idmanagement.gov/ns/assurance/ial/1>
- 122 • <http://idmanagement.gov/ns/assurance/ial/2>
- 123 • <http://idmanagement.gov/ns/assurance/ial/3>
- 124 • <http://idmanagement.gov/ns/assurance/ial/4>

125 Credential Level of Assurance 1-4:

- 126 • <http://idmanagement.gov/ns/assurance/loa/1>
- 127 • <http://idmanagement.gov/ns/assurance/loa/2>
- 128 • <http://idmanagement.gov/ns/assurance/loa/3>
- 129 • <http://idmanagement.gov/ns/assurance/loa/4>

130 NOTE: ICAM LOA URLs, as described in the FICAM SAML 2.0 Web Browser SSO Profile v1.0.2 and
131 earlier, and the Authentication Policy URL as described in the FICAM OpenID 2.0 Profile v1.0.1 and
132 earlier, are depreciated and will not be supported in future versions of those profiles.

133 **4. SCHEME AND PROFILE ADOPTION PROCESS**

134
135 Identity scheme adoption is driven by industry standards, and Federal government policies and Profiles.
136 OMB and the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), who are the primary authoritative
137 bodies driving the applicable Federal government policies, standards, and policies.

138 139 **4.1 Value Determination**

140 The FICAM TFS Program Manager, after consultation with relevant government agencies and
141 organizations, determines whether adoption of a published identity scheme would be valuable to Federal
142 Agencies. In doing so, the FICAM TFS Program considers whether the identity scheme has (or is
143 gaining) industry traction, uses proven technology, has (or is gaining) penetration in particular
144 communities, and has direct applicability to Federal activities.

145 146 **4.2 Standardization Review**

147 The FICAM TFS Program Manager establishes a Profile Assessment Team to review the identity scheme
148 to determine whether it is standards-based, a basic requirement. Proprietary schemes are discouraged,
149 though if a compelling case can be made for adopting one, the government will consider it. The review
150 determines, among other things, whether the identity standard is fully documented, well maintained,
151 available in commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) products, interoperable across COTS products, and open
152 (i.e., non-proprietary).

153
154 If the assessment indicates the scheme is viable, the FICAM TFS Program Manager makes a
155 determination to:

- 156 1. Adopt an existing industry Scheme Profile as a baseline provided it meets the Federal
157 government's security, privacy and interoperability criteria; or
- 158 2. Create a new Scheme Profile

159
160 The Scheme Profile does not alter the standard, but rather specifies which areas of the standard will be
161 used for technical interoperability of government applications, and how they will be used. Specifically,
162 the Scheme Profile specifies the subset of requirements and functionality within the scheme that is

163 acceptable for government use at various Levels of Assurance based upon compliance with NIST SP 800-
164 63 and other privacy and security requirements.

165
166 The Profile Assessment Team works closely with the FICAM TFS Program Testing Facilities during
167 profiling to assess viability of the Profile with COTS products to ensure the Profile is practical and
168 interoperable. The Scheme Profile is subsequently used to ensure implementations of the identity
169 scheme:

- 170
171 1. Meet Federal standards, regulations, and laws; and
172 2. Minimize risk to the Federal government and maximize interoperability.

173
174 Upon conclusion of this step, the Profile Assessment Team delivers a Report to the FICAM TFS Program
175 Manager.

176 **4.3 Scheme and Profile Adoption Decision**

177
178 The FICAM TFS Program reviews the Profile Assessment Team Report on standardization of the identity
179 scheme, and after consultation with relevant government agencies and organizations, decides on whether
180 to adopt the identity scheme. Upon adoption, the scheme is added to the Approved Identity Scheme List,
181 Relying Parties and Credential Service Providers may be notified of the adoption as necessary, and the
182 Scheme Profile can be used by the Federal government.

183 **4.4 Ongoing Activities**

184
185 Once adopted, a scheme is subject to review in the event of the following:

- 186
187 • Activities related to newer versions of a scheme (e.g. SAML 1 to SAML 2), which could result in
188 revision or decommission of the adopted scheme or adoption of a new scheme;
189 • Determination as to whether the scheme should be discontinued (i.e., no longer acceptable to the
190 Federal government). Discontinuance may be for reasons including, but not limited to, no longer
191 applicable to the Federal government, no longer compliant with the applicable Profile, no longer
192 supported by COTS products;
193 • Compliance assessment against applicable Profile to the degree specified in NIST SP 800-63; and
194 • Other justifiable reasons as defined by the FICAM TFS Program.

195 **4.5 Scheme and Profile Adoption Process Maintenance**

196
197 The ICAM Program will evolve over time. As the needs of the Program change or become clearer, it is
198 likely that the identity scheme adoption process will evolve. The FICAM TFS Program has
199 responsibility for identity scheme adoption process maintenance. Draft revisions of this document will be
200 made available to applicable Federal government agencies and organizations, as well as COTS vendors,
201 for comment.

202
203

204 **APPENDIX A – REFERENCE DOCUMENTATION**

205
206 [1] HSPD-12 Policy for a Common Identification Standard for Federal Employees and Contractors
207 <http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2004/08/20040827-8.html>

208
209 [2] OMB M-04-04: E-Authentication Guidance for Federal Agencies
210 <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/memoranda/fy04/m04-04.pdf>

211
212 [3] OMB M-06-22: Cost Savings Achieved Through E-Government and Line of Business Initiatives
213 <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/memoranda/fy2006/m06-22.pdf>

214
215
216

DRAFT

217 **APPENDIX B - DEFINITIONS**

Term	Definition
Identity Management Standard	Identity standards, such as SAML and Liberty Alliance, specify protocols and standards for federated identity mechanisms for different entities to share identities without requiring the end user to manage multiple accounts.
Scheme	Precisely scoped subset of an identity management standard.
Scheme Adoption	Acceptance of precisely scoped subset of an identity management standard by the Federal government after rigorous review and determination of usefulness with respect to ICAM objectives.

218

DRAFT

219 **APPENDIX C - ACRONYMS**

Acronym	Definition
CIO	Chief Information Officers
COTS	Commercial off the Shelf
FCIOC	Federal Chief Information Officers Council
GSA	General Services Administration
HSPD-12	Homeland Security Presidential Directive
ICAM	Identity, Credential, and Access Management
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
OGP	Office of Governmentwide Policy
OMB	Office of Management and Budget
PKI	Public Key Infrastructure
SAML	Security Assertion Markup Language
SP	Special Publication

220

DRAFT